arXiv:0805.3921v1 [math.NT] 26 May 2008

# Binary Additive Problems Involving Naturals with Binary Decompositions of a Special Kind

**K.M. Éminyan**

**Abstract**

Let $h$ and $l$ be integers such that $0 \leq h \leq 2$, $0 \leq l \leq 4$. We obtain asymptotic formulas for the numbers of solutions of the equations $n-3m=h$, $n-5m=l$ in positive integers $m$ and $n$ of a special kind, $m \leq X$.

**Key words:** binary decomposition, binary additive problems.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the binary decomposition of a positive integer $n$:

$$n=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon_k 2^k,$$

where $\varepsilon_k = 0, 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots$

We split the set of positive integers into two nonintersecting classes as follows:

$$\mathbb{N}_0=\{n\in\mathbb{N},\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon_k\equiv 0 \pmod 2\},\quad \mathbb{N}_1=\{n\in\mathbb{N},\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon_k\equiv 1 \pmod 2\}.$$

In 1968, A.O. Gel'fond [1] obtained the following theorem: *for the number of integers $n$, $n \leq X$, satisfying the conditions $n \equiv l \pmod m$, $n \in \mathbf{N}_j$ ($j = 0, 1$), the following asymptotic formula is valid:*

$$T_j(X,l,m)=\frac{X}{2m}+O(X^{\lambda}), \tag{1}$$

where $m$, $l$ are any naturals and $\lambda = \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 4} = 0,7924818\ldots$

Suppose that

$$\varepsilon(n)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } n\in\mathbf{N}_0,\\ -1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The proof of formula (1) is based on the estimate

$$|S(\alpha)| \ll X^{\lambda} \tag{2}$$

of the trigonometrical sum

$$S(\alpha)=\sum_{n\le X}\varepsilon(n)e^{2\pi i\alpha n},$$

which is valid for any real values of $\alpha$.

In 1996, author [2] proved the following theorem: *Let $F_{i,k}$ be the number of solutions of the equation $n-m=1$, where $n\le X$, $n\in\mathbf{N}_i$, $m\in\mathbf{N}_k$, $i,k=0,1$. Then the asymptotic formulas hold:*

$$F_{0,0}(X)=\frac{X}{6}+O(\log X),\quad F_{1,1}(X)=\frac{X}{6}+O(\log X),$$

$$F_{0,1}(X)=\frac{X}{3}+O(\log X),\quad F_{1,0}(X)=\frac{X}{3}+O(\log X).$$

It follows from this theorem that the orders of $F_{i,k}(X)$ strongly depend on the values of $i$ and $k$.

In present paper we consider two problems in which the indicated effect vanishes.

Our main results are the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $h$ be any integer such that $0\le h\le 2$. Let $I_{i,k}(X,h)$ be the number of solutions of the equation $n-3m=h$, where $m\le X$, $m\in\mathbf{N}_i$, $n\in\mathbf{N}_k$, $i,k=0,1$. Then the asymptotic formulas hold:*

$$I_{i,k}(X,h)=\frac{X}{4}+O(X^{\lambda}).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let $l$ be any integer such that $0\le h\le 4$. Let $J_{i,k}(X,l)$ be the number of solutions of the equation $n-5m=l$, where $m\le X$, $m\in\mathbf{N}_i$, $n\in\mathbf{N}_k$, $i,k=0,1$. Then the asymptotic formulas hold:*

$$J_{i,k}(X,l)=\frac{X}{4}+O(X^{\lambda}).$$

Let us introduce two sums:

$$S_3(X,h)=\sum_{n\le X}\varepsilon(n)\varepsilon(3n+h),\quad S_5(X,l)=\sum_{n\le X}\varepsilon(n)\varepsilon(5n+l),$$

where $h$ and $l$ are nonnegative integers.

Proofs of the theorems 1 and 2 are based on lemmas 1 and 2 consequently (see below), and also on Gel'fond's estimate (2).

## 2. Lemmas

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that $h$ is an integer such that $0\le h\le 2$. The following estimate holds:*

$$S_3(X,h)=O(\sqrt{X}).$$

*Proof.* Grouping summands over even and over odd $n$ and using obvious formulae $\varepsilon(2n) = \varepsilon(n)$, $\varepsilon(2n+1) = -\varepsilon(n)$ we have the following equalities

$$S_3(X,0) = S_3(X2^{-1},0) + S_3(X\ 2^{-1},1) + O(1), \tag{3}$$

$$S_3(X,1) = -S_3(X\ 2^{-1},0) - S_3(X\ 2^{-1},2) + O(1), \tag{4}$$

$$S_3(X,2) = S_3(X\ 2^{-1},1) + S_3(X\ 2^{-1},2) + O(1). \tag{5}$$

Consider the linear combination

$$\alpha_0 S_3(X,0) + \beta_0 S_3(X,1) + \gamma_0 S_3(X,2),$$

where $\alpha_0$, $\beta_0$, $\gamma_0$ are constants. By (3)–(5) we have

$$\alpha_0 S_3(X,0)+\beta_0 S_3(X,1)+\gamma_0 S_3(X,2) = \alpha_1 S_3(X\ 2^{-1},0)+\beta_1 S_3(X\ 2^{-1},1)+\gamma_1 S_3(X\ 2^{-1},2)+$$

$$+O(|\alpha_0|) + +O(|\beta_0|) + +O(|\gamma_0|),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = \alpha_0 - \beta_0, \\ \beta_1 = \alpha_0 + \gamma_0, \\ \gamma_1 = \gamma_0 - \beta_0. \end{cases}$$

Repeating this reasoning we arrive to the equality

$$\alpha_0 S_3(X,0) + \beta_0 S_3(X,1) + \gamma_0 S_3(X,2) = \alpha_j S_3(X_j,0) + \beta_j S_3(X_j,1) + \gamma_j S_3(X_j,2)+$$

$$+O(|\alpha_0| + \cdots + |\alpha_{j-1}|) + O(|\beta_0| + \cdots + |\beta_{j-1}|) + O(|\gamma_0| + \cdots + |\gamma_{j-1}|),$$

where $j$ is any integer such that $0 \le j \le \log_2 X - 10$, $X_j = X2^{-j}$, and the sequences $\alpha_j$, $\beta_j$, $\gamma_j$ satisfy to the system of recurrent equations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{j+1} = \alpha_j - \beta_j, \\ \beta_{j+1} = \alpha_j + \gamma_j, \\ \gamma_{j+1} = \gamma_j - \beta_j. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Let us write (6) in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{j+1} \\ \beta_{j+1} \\ \gamma_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_j \\ \gamma_j \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_j \\ \gamma_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_j \\ \gamma_j \end{pmatrix} = A^j \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily see that $A = CBC^{-1}$, where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1-i\sqrt{7}}{2} & \frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix};$$

here 1, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}$, $\lambda_3 = \frac{1-i\sqrt{7}}{2}$ are eigenvalues of $A$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_j \\ \gamma_j \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3^j \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Note that $|\lambda_2| = |\lambda_3| = \sqrt{2}$. It follows from (7) that if $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, or $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, or $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$|\alpha_j| = O(\sqrt{2}^{\,j}), \quad |\beta_j| = O(\sqrt{2}^{\,j}), \quad |\gamma_j| = O(\sqrt{2}^{\,j}). \tag{8}$$

Let $J$ be the largest natural number such that $J \le \log_2 X - 10$, $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \\ \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

It follows from (8) that

$$|S_3(X,0)| \le |\alpha_J||S_3(X_J,0)| + |\beta_J||S_3(X_J,1)| + |\gamma_J||S_3(X_J,2)| + O(\sqrt{X}) = O(\sqrt{X}).$$

The estimates $S_3(X,1) = O(\sqrt{X}\,)$, $S_3(X,2) = O(\sqrt{X}\,)$ we obtain in a similar way. □

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that $l$ is an integer such that $0 \le l \le 4$. The following estimate holds:*

$$S_5(X,l) = O(X^{\mu}),$$

*where $\mu = 0,60538\dots$*

*Proof.* Consider the linear combination

$$\alpha_0 S_5(X,0) + \beta_0 S_5(X,1) + \gamma_0 S_5(X,2) + \sigma_0 S_5(X,3) + \tau_0 S_5(X,4),$$

where $\alpha_0$, $\beta_0$, $\gamma_0$, $\sigma_0$ and $\tau_0$ are constants.

Repeating the reasoning of the proof of lemma 1 we arrive to the equality

$$\alpha_0 S_5(X,0) + \beta_0 S_5(X,1) + \gamma_0 S_5(X,2) + \sigma_0 S_5(X,3) + \tau_0 S_5(X,4) =$$

$$\alpha_J S_5(X_J,0) + \beta_J S_5(X_J,1) + \gamma_J S_5(X_J,2) + \sigma_J S_5(X_J,3) + \tau_J S_5(X_J,4) +$$

$$+O(|\alpha_0|+\cdots+|\alpha_{J-1}|)+\cdots+O(|\tau_0|+\cdots+\tau_{J-1}|),$$

where $J$ is the largest natural number such that $J \le \log_2 X - 10$, $X_J = X2^{-J}$ and vector $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_J\\ \beta_J\\ \gamma_J\\ \sigma_J\\ \tau_J\end{pmatrix}$ is defined with equation

$$\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_J\\ \beta_J\\ \gamma_J\\ \sigma_J\\ \tau_J\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1\end{pmatrix}^J \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_0\\ \beta_0\\ \gamma_0\\ \sigma_0\\ \tau_0\end{pmatrix} = A_1^J \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_0\\ \beta_0\\ \gamma_0\\ \sigma_0\\ \tau_0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us write out the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_1$:

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{3^{1/3}+(9-\sqrt{78})^{2/3}}{3^{2/3}(9-\sqrt{78})^{1/3}}, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2(27-3\sqrt{78})^{1/3}} + \frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})(9-\sqrt{78})^{1/3}}{2\ 3^{2/3}},$$

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2(27-3\sqrt{78})^{1/3}} + \frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})(9-\sqrt{78})^{1/3}}{2\ 3^{2/3}}.$$

Note that eigenvalues $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$, $\lambda_4$ are simple and $\lambda_1$ has multiplicity 2.

It is a well known fact of Linea Algebra that there exists a nonsingular matrix $C_1$ such that $A_1 = C_1 B_1 C_1^{-1}$, where

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_J\\ \beta_J\\ \gamma_J\\ \sigma_J\\ \tau_J\end{pmatrix} = C_1 B_1^J C_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_0\\ \beta_0\\ \gamma_0\\ \sigma_0\\ \tau_0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

Since $|\lambda_2| = \max_{1\le j\le 4} |\lambda_j| = 1,52137\ldots$ it follows from (9) that the inequalities

$$|\alpha_J| \ll |\lambda_2|^J, \ldots, |\tau_J| \ll |\lambda_2|^J.$$

hold and so for any $l$, $0 \le l \le 4$ we have the estimate

$$S_5(X,l) = O(X^{\mu}),$$

where $\mu = \frac{\log \lambda_2}{\log 2} = 0,60538\ldots$ ☐

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Let us prove theorem 1. For any integer $h$, $0 \leq h \leq 2$, and for any $i, j = 0, 1$ we have

$$I_{i,k}(X,h) = \sum_{m \leq X} \left( \frac{1 + (-1)^i \varepsilon(m)}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1 + (-1)^k \varepsilon(3m+h)}{2} \right) =$$

$$\frac{X}{4} + \frac{(-1)^i}{4} \sum_{m \leq X} \varepsilon(n) + \frac{(-1)^k}{4} \sum_{m \leq X} \varepsilon(3m+h) + \frac{(-1)^{i+k}}{4} S_3(X,h) + O(1) =$$

$$\frac{X}{4} + \frac{(-1)^i}{4} \sum_{m \leq X} \varepsilon(m) + \frac{(-1)^k}{4} \sum_{c=1}^{3} e^{-2\pi i \frac{ch}{3}} \sum_{n \leq 3X+h} \varepsilon(n) e^{2\pi i \frac{cn}{3}} + \frac{(-1)^{i+k}}{4} S_3(X,h) + O(1).$$

Now theorem 1 follows immediately from obvious inequality $\sum_{m \leq X} \varepsilon(m) = O(1)$, Gel'fond's estimate (2) and lemma 1.

Proof of theorem 2 essentially coincides with proof of theorem 1. The only distinction is use lemma 2 instead of lemma 1.

# References

[1] *A.O. Gel'fond* Acta Arith., **13**, pp. 259–265 (1968)

[2] *K.M. Éminyan* Math. Notes, **60**, No. 4, pp. 476–481 (1996)

Moscow State University of Applied Biotechnologies
E-mail address: eminyan@mail.ru